of the Municipality and also for the withdrawal of such money therefrom, as may be specified in the law.

The Bill also provides for setting up of a Finance Commission in every State within one year from the commencement of the Constitution (Seventy Third Amendment) Act, 1991 and thereafter at the expiration of every fifth year to review the financial position of the Municipalities and to recommend the principles for:

(i) the distribution between the State and the Municipalities of the net proceeds of the taxes, duties, tolls and fee leviable by the State, which may be divided between them under this party and the allocation between the Municipality at all levels of their respective skier of such proceeds;

(ii) the determination of the taxes, duties tolls and fees which may be assigned to, or appropriated by the Municipalities.

(iii) the grants-in-aid to the Municipalities from the Consolidate Fund of the State;

(iv) any other matter referred to the Finance Commission by the Governor in the interests of sound finance of the Municipalities.

World Bank Assistance for Drainage System in Hyderabad

4439. SHRI DHARMABHIKSHAM: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government of Andhra Pradesh sought World Bank assistance for modernising the drainage system in Hyderabad; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) No, Sir, However, under the ongoing World Bank aided Hyderabad Water Supply and Sanitation Project, there is a component for rehabilitation and strengthening of the existing seweage system at a cost of Rs. 66.68 crores.

(b) Does not arise.

Allocation to Bihar Under Gadgil Formula

4440. SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES:Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether the modified Godgil formula for plan allocation to States has resulted in one of the lowest per capital investments in Bihar; and

(b) if so, the facts thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI H.R. BHARDWAJ): (a) and (b). No, Sir. Under modified Gadgil Formula, backward States including Bihar, got favourable treatment as the formulae included the criteria of population, per capita income and special problems which together accounted for 90 to 95% weightage out of the total . Among non-special category States, per capita assistance allocated to the State of Bihar during 1990-91 and 1991-92 was higher than nine State out of fifteen States as may be seen from Statement I attached. Furthermore the State of Bihar among non-special

cateogy States got the highest increase of Rs. 141 Crores during 1991–92 over 1990–91 allocations as is evident from Statement II attached.

2. Allocations of Central investable funds (gross block) in Bihar State constituted 8.5% of total Central investment as on 31.3.1990. In absolute terms, amount allocated was Rs. 9637.74 Crores indicating an increase of Rs. 3804 Crores during the Seventh Plan period alone. Bihar obtains fourth place in ranking (from above) of Statewise distribution of gross block investment. These allocations as for all other States/Regions are primarily on the techno–economic feasibility of the projects. But, they also take into account the regional backwardness of the States.

STATEMENT–I

Per Capita Allocation of Criteria – Based Normal Assistance to States during 1990–91 and 1991–92.

(Rupees)

	States	*1990–91**	*1991–92**
	A – SPECIAL CATEGORY STATES		
1.	Arunachal Pradesh	3519	5021
2.	Assam	430	514
3.	Himachal Pradesh	637	746
4.	Jammu & Kashmir	1212	1550
5.	Manipur	1454	1819
6.	Meghalaya	1465	1759
7.	Mizoram	3160	4578
8	Nagaland	2425	3202
9.	Sikkim	3243	4210
10.	Tripura	1053	1257
	B – NON–SPECIAL CATEGORY STATES		
1.	Andhra Pradesh	103	125
2.	Bihar	114	139

(Rupees)

	States	*1990–91**	*1991–92**
3.	Goa	1258	560
4.	Gujarat	76	88
5.	Haryana	103	118
6.	Karnataka	80	92
7.	Kerala	124	141
8.	Madhya Pradesh	104	124
9.	Maharashtra	70	85
10.	Orissa	129	156
11.	Punjab	85	129
12.	Rajasthan	134	149
13.	Tamil Nadu	98	109
14.	Uttar Pradesh	118	124
15.	West Bengal	71	86

*Based on modified Gadgil formula. ** Based on NDC' Consensus' formula
Note: Per capita allocations based on 1971 population.

STATEMENT–II

Increase in Normal Central Assistance (Gross) in 1991-92 Over 1990–91

	States	*Rs. Crores*
	A– SPECIAL CATEOGRY STATES	
1.	Arunachal Pradesh	70.31
2.	Assam	122.17
3.	Himachal Pradesh	37.75

	States	*Rs. Crores*
4.	Jammu & Kashmir	155.98
5.	Manipur	39.12
6.	Meghalaya	29.83
7.	Mizoram	47.08
8.	Nagaland	40.08
9.	Sikkim	20.30
10.	Tripura	31.79
	Total	594.41
B– NON–SPECIAL CATEGORY STATES		
1.	Andhra Pradesh	98.16
2.	Bihar	141.07
3.	Goa	–55.50
4.	Gujarat	32.26
5.	Haryana	15.09
6.	Karnataka	35.12
7.	Kerala	37.05
8.	Madhya Pradesh	85.80
9.	Maharashtra	77.60
10.	Orissa	59.15
11.	Punjab	58.90
12.	Rajasthan	38.44
13.	Tamil Nadu	45.47

	States	*Rs. Crores*
14.	Uttar Pradesh	46.84
15.	West Bengal	68.77
	Total	784.22
	Grand Total (A+B)	1378.68

Relief to Earthquake Victims of Uttarkashi by HUDCO

4441. SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether HUDCO has given any material relief to the earthquake victims of Uttarkashi for reconstruction of their damaged houses; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) and (b). HUDCO has sanctioned loan of about Rs. 30 crores for the reconstruction of 20,000 houses fully damaged due to earthquake in the Garhwal region (Uttarkashi, Tehri, Chamoli and Dehradun Distts.) The assistance will be disbursed to the beneficiaries through U.P. Rural Housing Board. First instalment of the loan amounting sto Rs. 14.25 crores has already been released to the State agency.

In addition, HUDCO has extended technical assistance for setting up of 3 Building Centres and 6 Sub–Centres in the region for transfer of appropriate technology, skill upgradation and training of artisans and making available building componenets and elements for the reconstruction of earthquake resistant houses. Appropriate designs for earthquake resistance houses have also been provided by HUDCO to State Government.

Transport Subsidy to Andaman and Nicobar

4442. SHRI MANORANJAN BHAKTA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have received the claims for granting transport subsidy from the Union Territory of Andaman and Nicobar Islands Administration upto 31st October, 1991 and

(b) if so, when the funds are likely to be released and the amount invoved?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): (a) and (b). The Union Territory Administration of Andaman and Nicobar Islands had submitted a transport subsidy